

January 22, 1976

women who cannot afford it, whereas, if we outlaw abortions, you do not stop the wealthy of our land from choosing to have a safe, legal abortion in another area. I cannot support this Resolution. I do not advocate abortion but I cannot support this Resolution because I know that to outlaw abortion does not stop abortion. It only sends it underground for the poor and sends our wealthy to other areas.

PRESIDENT: Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, for those of you who belong to denominations or religions that request you to take a side on this, I have no fault to find with that. I highly regard anybody who follows their own denomination, but if everyone would properly care for their children, if everyone who is going to have children would want them, would take care of them, I would certainly support this Resolution. But this isn't the case. Since many fathers and mothers do not want their children and are not compelled to take care of them in the proper way, we have the decision to make whether we should allow the child to be born and then be mistreated or allow to take it prior to its being born and not have it subjected to punishment. This is my only reason for not supporting this Resolution, and here again, we are recommending to the federal government what they should be doing when I wonder, really, are we qualified to be telling them what to do in this field.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would like to address one aspect of this question that is so often ignored and it is also an answer to Senator Marsh, Senators Chambers, Nichol, all those people who come up and say, well, what about the poor children in the world, what about the children who are not taken care of, what about the people who are starving, what about the mother who dies on a butcher's table, what about all these other injustices, and I would urge you to consider this very simple phrase we all have heard a hundred times and it is simply, two wrongs don't make a right. Yes, these other things exist and they are bad, but if, in fact, abortion constitutes the taking of a human life, then there is no justification or explanation that can say, yes, this is somehow right. If it is wrong from the very start, then just saying you are eliminating another problem doesn't somehow rectify or correct that original wrong, and so, if you believe, if you, in your conscience, in your heart, sincerely believe that abortion constitutes the taking of a human life, then no argument in the world should be able to make you vote against this Resolution. The Resolution seeks for those who do believe this to have the matter reviewed again and corrected, if indeed it needs to be corrected, through the highest authorities in this land, which is ultimately the Constitution. And so, when you are confronted with this and hear this argument, well, yes, but what about little Johnny who is